

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8
9

10 Western Watersheds Project, et al.,) No. 13-CV-1028-PHX-PGR
11 Plaintiffs,)
12 vs.) **ORDER**
13 Bureau of Land Management,)
14 Defendant.)
15 _____

16
17 On September 16, 2013, the Court issued a scheduling order in the above-captioned
18 case. (Doc. 19.) Now before the Court is Defendant's unopposed motion to amend the
19 scheduling order. (Doc. 21.) The Court having reviewed the motion, and good cause
20 appearing,

21 IT IS ORDERED that the September 16, 2013, scheduling order is amended as
22 follows:

23 1. Defendant shall lodge the Administrative Record in electronic form no later
24 than December 20, 2013.

25 2. Motions to amend the pleadings and motions to join additional parties shall be
26 filed no later than February 21, 2014.

27 3. Plaintiffs shall inform Defendant of any objections to the Administrative
28 Record no later than February 21, 2014.

1 4. Plaintiffs shall file any motion challenging the sufficiency of the record or
2 seeking leave to submit extra record evidence no later than March 14, 2014.


3 5. Plaintiffs shall file their motion for summary judgment no later than March 28,
4 2014. The summary judgment brief shall not exceed 35 pages.

5 6. Defendant shall file its combined cross-motion for summary judgment and
6 opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment, not exceeding 35 pages, no later than
7 May 23, 2014.

8 7. Plaintiffs shall file their reply in support of summary judgment and opposition
9 to Defendant's motion no later than June 20, 2014. The reply brief shall not exceed 20 pages.

10 8. Defendant shall file its reply brief in support of summary judgment, not
11 exceeding 20 pages, no later than July 18, 2014.

12 DATED this 18th day of November, 2013.

13
14 
15 Paul G. Rosenblatt
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28